St Mary's University Twickenham London



## Precision and Reliability of Self-Assessed Neck Strength in UK Youth Rugby Using a Novel Protocol and Fixation Device

#### Ben O'Connor, Lewis Smith, Jack Lineham, William Page & Filip Gertz Lysdal



<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Sport, Technology and Health Sciences, St Mary's University, Twickenham, London, UK <sup>2</sup>Department of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK <sup>3</sup>The Blues – Youth Development, London, UK <sup>4</sup>Centre for Health and Rehabilitation, University College Absalon, Roskilde, DK



St Mary's University Twickenham London



## Precision and Reliability of <u>Self-Assessed</u> Neck Strength in UK Youth Rugby Using a Novel Protocol and Fixation Device

Ben O'Connor, Lewis Smith, Jack Lineham, William Page & Filip Gertz Lysdal



<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Sport, Technology and Health Sciences, St Mary's University, Twickenham, London, UK <sup>2</sup>Department of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK <sup>3</sup>The Blues – Youth Development, London, UK <sup>4</sup>Centre for Health and Rehabilitation, University College Absalon, Roskilde, DK



## 80–120 injuries per 1,000 player-match-hours (!)

24

Schwellnus et al. (2018)



## 21.5 head injuries/1000 player-match-hours

Rafferty et al. (2019)





# Neck strength might be a risk mitigator...



Neck Strength as a Protective Factor: For every one-pound increase in neck strength, the odds of concussion decreased by 5%



## Neck Strength as a Protective Factor: For every one-pound increase in neck strength, the odds of concussion decreased by 5%







# Getting stronger necks might protect you from concussions...



# ...but how do we know if our athletes are actually getting stronger??



Collins et al. (2014)

**D**N





Nazarahari et al. (2020)

Fuller et al. (2022)







## What if...

# Neck strength could be assessed with minimal supervision?

## **SELF-ASSESSED?**



Karagiannopoulos C, Griech S, Leggin B. Reliability and Validity of the ActivForce Digital Dynamometer in Assessing Shoulder Muscle Force across Different User Experience Levels. *IJSPT*. 2022;17(4):669-676.



#### **Original Research**

#### Reliability and Validity of the ActivForce Digital Dynamometer in Assessing Shoulder Muscle Force across Different User Experience Levels

Christos Karagiannopoulos<sup>1</sup> **\*** <sup>a</sup>, Sean Griech<sup>1</sup> **\*** <sup>A</sup>, Brian Leggin<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Doctor of Physical Therapy Program, DeSales University, <sup>2</sup> Penn Therapy and Fitness, Good Shepherd Penn Partners Keywords: ActivForce, microFET2, hand-held dynamometer, psychometric properties, clinical experience https://doi.org/10.26603/001c.35577

#### International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy

Vol. 17, Issue 4, 2022



























# Is this a <u>precise</u> and <u>reliable</u> method in assessing neck strength?

Methods



Test-retest reliability study
20 Youth Rugby Union Players

Tested twice on day 1, and once on day 2
Three repetitions for each direction

Within- and between-day reliability via ICC (3,k)
 Precision via SEM and MDC

 $SEM = SD imes \sqrt{1 - ICC}$ 







# RESULTS

### Within-day reliability





### **Between-day reliability**









### Precision



	Day1a vs Day1b	Day1b vs Day2	p-value	SEM (N)	MDC (N)
Flexion	<b>0.99</b> [0.96, 0.99]	<b>0.97</b> [0.93, 0.99]	p<0.0001	<b>16.7</b> [9.8, 25.9]	<b>46.2</b> [27.1, 71.8]
Extension	<b>0.98</b> [0.95, 0.99]	<b>0.96</b> [0.91, 0.99]	p<0.0001	<b>13.0</b> [6.9, 20.6]	<b>36.1</b> [19.0, 57.1]
Left lateral flexion	<b>0.94</b> [0.86, 0.98]	<b>0.97</b> [0.92, 0.99]	p<0.0001	<b>9.6</b> [5.3, 15.0]	<b>26.7</b> [14.7, 41.5]
Right lateral flexion	<b>0.94</b> [0.85, 0.98]	<b>0.96</b> [0.90, 0.98]	p<0.0001	<b>9.9</b> [7.1, 15.8]	<b>27.4</b> [19.7, 43.9]

### Precision



	Day1a vs Day1b	Day1b vs Day2	p-value	SEM (N)	MDC (N)
Flexion	<b>0.99</b> [0.96, 0.99]	<b>0.97</b> [0.93, 0.99]	p<0.0001	<b>16.7</b> [9.8, 25.9]	<b>46.2</b> [27.1, 71.8]
Extension	<b>0.98</b> [0.95, 0.99]	<b>0.96</b> [0.91, 0.99]	p<0.0001	<b>13.0</b> [6.9, 20.6]	<b>36.1</b> [19.0, 57.1]
Left lateral flexion	<b>0.94</b> [0.86, 0.98]	<b>0.97</b> [0.92, 0.99]	p<0.0001	<b>9.6</b> [5.3, 15.0]	<b>26.7</b> [14.7, 41.5]
Right lateral flexion	<b>0.94</b> [0.85, 0.98]	<b>0.96</b> [0.90, 0.98]	p<0.0001	<b>9.9</b> [7.1, 15.8]	<b>27.4</b> [19.7, 43.9]



The self-assessed neck strength test protocol, utilising a 3D-printed fixation device and handheld dynamometer, offers a precise, reliable, and cost-effective solution for easy neck strength assessments, wellsuited for longitudinal monitoring



The self-assessed neck strength test protocol, utilising a 3D-printed fixation device and handheld dynamometer, offers a precise, reliable, and cost-effective solution for easy neck strength assessments, wellsuited for longitudinal monitoring

### Thank you



Test protocol and 3D-print files available <u>here</u>!





